

2020

Resilience Assessment

Contents

Introduction

GRESB Resilience Indicators

Disclaimer: 2020 GRESB Resilience Module Assessment Document

The 2020 GRESB Real Estate and Infrastructure Resilience Module Assessment Document accompanies the 2020 GRESB Real Estate Resilience Module and is published both as a standalone document and in the GRESB Portal alongside each Module indicator. The Assessment Document reflects the opinions of GRESB and not of our members. The information in the Assessment Document has been provided in good faith and is provided on an "as is" basis. We take reasonable care to check the accuracy and completeness of the Assessment Document prior to its publication. While we do not anticipate major changes, we reserve the right to make modifications to the Assessment Document. We will publicly announce any such modifications. The Assessment Document is not provided as the basis for any professional advice or for transactional use. GRESB and its advisors, consultants and sub-contractors shall not be responsible or liable for any advice given to third parties, any investment decisions or trading or any other actions taken by you or by third parties based on information contained in the Assessment Document. Except where stated otherwise, GRESB is the exclusive owner of all intellectual property rights in all the information contained in the Assessment Document.

Introduction

Worldwide, the frequency, size and cost of disasters is increasing, driven by climate change, population growth, rapid urbanization, and other factors. Sustainability efforts are critical in helping mitigate these factors, including action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions; increase the use of clean, renewable energy sources; conserve water resources; and plan safe, equitable communities. Such efforts are essential and must be continued and expanded. At the same time, businesses or communities must prepare for the changes that lie ahead. Organizations need to identify hazards, assess risks, and systematically adapt to a changing climate and changing world.

Long-term, global trends including population growth, urbanization, and climate change necessitate that efforts to manage property and infrastructure in the future cannot entirely rely on past experience. Scientific evidence points to significant change, along with great uncertainty about local and regional impacts. The challenges of this dynamic future are daunting, but they also provide significant business opportunities. Scientists can already make reliable predictions about many types of impacts, along with information needed to identify the most vulnerable places and people. In parallel, new technologies and strategies are emerging that can mitigate local hazards, reduce risks, and protect life and property. The availability of this understanding and opportunities for positive action create the need to understand how property and infrastructure companies are acting to use these tools to manage risk and, in some cases, seize business opportunities.

These circumstances have motivated the development of the GRESB Resilience Module (the Module). The Module has two primary goals:

- 1. Meet investor demand for information about the resilience of property and infrastructure companies and funds: and
- 2. Provide more information about the processes that property and infrastructure companies use to identify, assess, and manage climate-related risks.

Definitions

For the Module, resilience is defined as the ability of an entity (i.e., organization or fund) to plan for, respond to, and rebound from short-term shocks and long-term stressors. This encompasses the Module's original working definition, "The ability to survive and thrive when subjected to shocks and stressors...".

The Resilience Module addresses two fundamental categories of climate-related risk identified by the Financial Stability Board's Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD): transition risk and physical risk.

Transition risks refer to those posed by market, policy, legal, reputational, technological, and other risk factors that arise from the ongoing shift to a low-carbon economy necessary to achieve the goals of the United Nations Paris Agreement. This transition may create new liabilities for companies, particularly those reliant on inefficient or carbon-intensive technologies. Such companies may not only be at risk from the increased cost of complying with current and future regulation (e.g., U.K. Minimum Energy Efficiency Standards for leased property), but may also exhibit competitive disadvantages. However, the transition will also create new opportunities for companies capable of providing low-carbon solutions such as energy efficiency programs or buildings powered by renewable energy.

Physical risks are those associated with a myriad of acute shocks (e.g., wildfires, flood events, tropical and extratropical storms) and chronic stresses (e.g., changing heating and cooling degree days, precipitation levels) caused or exacerbated by climate change.

While the Resilience Module aligns with the TCFD in addressing both transition and physical risks, it takes a broader perspective than the TCFD by evaluating and scoring other resilience-related measures beyond these two major categories. Notably, the Module provides indicators related to social risks. Social risks in the 2020 Module are constrained to those caused or exacerbated by transition or physical climate-related risk factors – i.e., those that are climate-related. Social risk factors include social shocks and stressors such as labor market disruption, building inaccessibility, inequity, loss of life during catastrophic events, and others, many of which are described by the global 100 Resilient Cities program. Other social risks unrelated to climate change are addressed in the core assessment.

to transition, physical, and social risks and opportunities facing real estate and infrastructure companies around the world.

Scope and Purpose

The Resilience Module provides investors with information needed to understand how real estate and infrastructure companies and funds are identifying and assessing long-term trends, preparing for potentially disruptive events and changing conditions, and ultimately becoming more resilient over time. The Module provides companies and funds with the opportunity to communicate their governance, risk assessment, business strategy, and performance measurement for climate-related risks and opportunities.

The Resilience Module was designed to align with the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). While it is not meant to, by itself, constitute a complete climate-related risk disclosure in accordance with the TCFD, it nonetheless provides a strong basis for one in the context of real estate and infrastructure fund management.

The Resilience Module does not attempt to assess or communicate specific risks to *individual assets*, such as homes or buildings. Rather, the Resilience Module provides an entity-level framework to report on the processes used to conduct such risk assessments and use those results to manage risk and create value. Stakeholders interested in asset-level risk assessment and management are referred to a growing number of tools such as those identified in the <u>GRESB (2018) Special Report on Real Assets and Resilience</u>.

Timeline

The GRESB Resilience Module is a three-year effort to improve reporting and benchmarking of climate risk and resilience management by property and infrastructure companies. The stages of development of the Module are as follows:

- 2018: The 2018 Resilience Module was an initial high-level screen intended to raise awareness, motivate internal discussion, and provide a basic level of transparency for investors. Results from 2018 Real Estate and Infrastructure Assessments are available in the <a href="https://green.org/green/gr
- 2019: The 2019 Resilience Module built upon the high-level criteria, with more rigour with respect to the
 contents and quality of evidence. It also sought to increase the Module's alignment with the
 recommendations of the TCFD. The 2019 Resilience Module was opened to participation by
 Infrastructure Funds.
- 2020: The 2020 Resilience Module makes improvements in reporting indicators based on two-years of experience, with continued emphasis on increasing alignment with the recommendations of the TCFD. The 2020 Resilience Module also attempts to provide more practical and nuanced answer choices related to scenario analysis, as well as the identification, assessment, and management of specific risks. This is the last year that a distinct Resilience Module is planned to be offered.

In 2021, select climate risk and resilience indicators are expected to be incorporated, scored, and reported as part of the core Real Estate and Infrastructure Assessments.

Structure

The 2020 Resilience Module has four sections:

- 1. Leadership & Governance
- 2. Risk Assessment
- 3. Business Strategy & Financial Planning
- 4. Performance Metrics & Targets

The Resilience Module is available for the Real Estate, Developer, Infrastructure Asset and Infrastructure Fund Assessment.

The Resilience Module contains indicator structures familiar to users of the GRESB Real Estate or Infrastructure Assessments. Each item consists of a "Yes or No" question. Either choice provides the option of providing additional text comments. Selecting "Yes" provides a set of sub-options to refine the response and the option to provide supporting evidence in the form of an uploaded document or hyperlink.

Data Access

Participants in the Resilience Module can control access to Module results via the GRESB Portal by checking a box to confirm whether they wish to share their Module results with their investors. If a participant elects to share its Module results, the results will appear as a separate section in the participant's GRESB Benchmark Report. If a participant chooses not to share its results, Resilience Module results will not appear in the Benchmark Report. This selection can be changed upon request here. Aggregated information from all Resilience Module participants will be used as the basis for a market report and related research.

GRESB Resilience Indicators

RS1	Has the organization assigned responsibility for the climate risk and resilience of the entity to an employee and/or a team?
0	Yes
	The entity has assigned responsibility to (select all that apply):
	An employee with primary responsibility for the management of climate risk and resilience is:
	The same individual as the senior decision-maker responsible for sustainability (identified in the Management Aspect of the main GRESB Assessment).
	Scope of responsibility for this individual includes:
	Climate-related transition risks
	Physical risks
	Social risks
	 A different individual(s) from the senior decision-maker responsible for sustainability.
	Provide the details for the most senior of these employees
	Name:
	Job title:
	E-mail:
	Scope of responsibility for this individual includes:
	Climate-related transition risks
	Physical risks
	Social risks
	A team, group, or committee with responsibility for the management of climate risk and resilience
	List the functional groups or departments represented on the team, group, or committee
	Scope of responsibility for this team, group, or committee includes:
	Climate-related transition risks
	Physical risks
	Social risks
0	No
Dra	avide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)

RS2	an	es the organization have a systematic process for communication d review of resilience-related information by the most senior vernance body with responsibility for the entity?
0	Yes	
		Climate-related transition risks
		Does the process include periodically informing the highest-level decision maker or decision-making body with responsibility for the entity?
		Yes
		O No
		The process includes (select all that apply):
		Written communications
		Presentations or briefings
		Briefing documents for review by the Board of Directors
		Other:
		The materials from the communication and review process are disclosed to (if disclosed):
		The public
		Investors upon request
		Other stakeholders upon request
		Other:
		Physical risks
		Does the process include periodically informing the highest-level decision maker or decision-making body with responsibility for the entity?
		Yes
		O No
		The process includes (select all that apply)
		Written communications
		Presentations or briefings
		Briefing documents for review by the Board of Directors
		Other:
		The materials from the communication and review process are disclosed to (if disclosed):
		The public
		Investors upon request
		Other stakeholders upon request

Othar.

otilet:
Social risks
Does the process include periodically informing the highest-level decision maker or decision-making body with responsibility for the entity?
○ Yes
O No
The process includes (select all that apply) Written communications
Presentations or briefings
Briefing documents for review by the Board of Directors
Other:
The materials from the communication and review process are disclosed to (if disclosed):
The public
Investors upon request
Other stakeholders upon request
Other:
Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL? Yes
UPLOAD or URL Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found No
O No
Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)

3
Does the organization have a systematic process to incorporate climate risk and resilience into planning, budgeting, evaluation, and/or similar activities?
Yes
Transition risk
Risks and opportunities are explicitly included in entity-level planning
Budgeting
Performance review
Work plans
Other:
Physical risk
Risks and opportunities are explicitly included in entity-level planning
Budgeting
Performance review
Work plans
Other:
Social risk
Risks and opportunities are explicitly included in entity-level planning
Budgeting
Performance review
Work plans
Other:

Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL?

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

Yes

No

O No

UPLOAD or URL_____

RS4		es the organization have a systematic process to assess the tity's exposure to climate-related transition risk?
0	Yes	
		The process is documented
		The nature of the process is disclosed to (if disclosed):
		The public
		Investors upon request
		Other stakeholders upon request
		Other:
		The process considers forward-looking scenarios
		Select all that apply:
		Representative Concentration Pathway 2.6
		Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5
		Representative Concentration Pathway 6.0
		Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5
		■ IEA Current Policies Scenario
		■ IEA Stated Policies Scenario
		■ IEA Sustainable Development Scenario
		IEA 2DS
		■ IEA B2DS
		PRI Inevitable Policy Response "Forecast Policy Scenario"
		Rockström et al. (2017) 1.5℃ Scenario
		Custom scenarios developed for the entity
		Other:
		The process identifies material climate-related transition risk factors
		Name the material risk factor(s) identified:
		Transition risk factor 1:
		Transition risk factor 2:
		Transition risk factor 3:
		Material risk(s) identified for the entity are disclosed to (if disclosed): The public
		Investors upon request

Other stakeholders upon request
Other:
Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL? Yes
UPLOAD or URL Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found No
O No
Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)

Does the organization have a systematic process to assess the entity's exposure to physical climate risks?

O Yes
The process is documented
The nature of the process is disclosed to (if disclosed): The public
Investors upon request
Other stakeholders upon request
Other:
The process considers forward-looking scenarios
Select all that apply:
Representative Concentration Pathway 2.6
Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5
Representative Concentration Pathway 6.0
Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5
■ IEA Current Policies Scenario
■ IEA Stated Policies Scenario
■ IEA Sustainable Development Scenario
IEA 2DS
■ IEA B2DS
PRI Inevitable Policy Response "Forecast Policy Scenario"
Rockström et al. (2017) 1.5℃ Scenario
Custom scenarios developed for the entity
Other:
The process identifies material climate-related physical risk factors
Name the material risk factor(s) identified:
Physical risk factor 1:
Physical risk factor 2:
Physical risk factor 3:
Material risk(s) identified for the entity are disclosed to (if disclosed): The public

Investors upon request
Other stakeholders upon request
Other:
Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL? Yes
UPLOAD or URL Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found No
 No Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)

RS6		es the organization have a systematic process to assess the tity's exposure to social risks?
0	Yes	
		The process is documented
		The nature of the process is disclosed to (if disclosed):
		The public
		Investors upon request
		Other stakeholders upon request
		Other:
		The process considers forward-looking scenarios
		Select all that apply:
		Representative Concentration Pathway 2.6
		Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5
		Representative Concentration Pathway 6.0
		Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5
		■ IEA Current Policies Scenario
		■ IEA Stated Policies Scenario
		■ IEA Sustainable Development Scenario
		IEA 2DS
		IEA B2DS
		PRI Inevitable Policy Response "Forecast Policy Scenario"
		Rockström et al. (2017) 1.5℃ Scenario
		Custom scenarios developed for the entity
		Other:
		The process identifies material climate-related social risk factors
		Name the material risk factor(s) identified:
		Social risk factor 1:
		Social risk factor 2:
		Social risk factor 3:
		Material risk(s) identified for the entity are disclosed to (if disclosed): The public
		Investors upon request

Other stakeholders upon request
Other:
Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL? Yes
UPLOAD or URL Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found No
O No
Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)

RS7	Has the organization assessed the potential financial impacts of climate-related risks on the entity?	
0	Yes	
	Please describe the potential impacts that each of the risk factors identified in RS4, RS5, and RS6 have on the entity (select all that apply):	
	Transition risks	
	Transition risk 1	
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the transition risk factor 1 (identified in RS4) on the entity:	
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?	
	It is already impacting the entity.	
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.	
	After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.	
	Transition risk 2	
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the transition risk factor 2 (identified in RS4) on the entity:	
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?	
	It is already impacting the entity.	
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.	
	After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.	
	Transition risk 3	
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the transition risk factor 3 (identified in RS4) on the entity:	
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?	
	It is already impacting the entity.	
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.	
	• After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.	
	Physical risks	
	Physical risk 1	
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the physical risk factor 1 (identified in RS5) on the entity:	
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?	

It is already impacting the entity.

	, , , ,
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.
	• After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.
	Physical risk 2
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the physical risk factor 2 (identified in RS5) on the entity:
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?
	It is already impacting the entity.
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.
	• After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.
	Physical risk 3
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the physical risk factor 3 (identified in RS5) on the entity:
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?
	It is already impacting the entity.
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.
	• After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.
So	cial risks
	Social risk 1
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the social risk factor 1 (identified in RS6) on the entity:
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?
	It is already impacting the entity.
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.
	• After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.
	Social risk 2
	Please describe the potential financial impact of the social risk factor 2 (identified in RS6) on the entity:
	On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?
	It is already impacting the entity.
	Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.

After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.
Social risk 3
Please describe the potential financial impact of the social risk factor 3 (identified in RS6) on the entity:
On what timeframe is this risk expected to impact the entity?
It is already impacting the entity.
Within the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity.
After the timeframe of the investment horizon of the entity but within the lifetime of the real assets included in the entity.
Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL?
O Yes
UPLOAD or URL
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found
O No
O No
Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)

RS8	Has the organization implemented resilience-related business strategies associated with the entity during the reporting year?
0	Yes
	Please select and describe asset type-specific strategies used to create value and/or manage the (potential) risk impacts described in RS7 (select all that apply):
	New construction projects
	Transition risk management and value creation strategies:
	Physical risk management and value creation strategies:
	Social risk and management value creation strategies:
	Standing investments
	Transition risk management and value creation strategies:
	Physical risk management and value creation strategies:
	Social risk management and value creation strategies:
	New acquisitions
	Transition risk management and value creation strategies:
	Physical risk management and value creation strategies:
	Social risk management and value creation strategies:
	Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL?
	Yes
	UPLOAD or URL
	Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found
	O No
0	No
Pro	ovide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)
	

RS9	Did the organization have specific climate risk and/or resilience- related targets or goals associated with the entity during the reporting year?
0	Yes
	Please describe climate-related risk management and/or resilience-related targets:
	Transition risk mangement and value creation
	Targets or goals:
	Target year:
	Transition risk management and value creation targets and goals are disclosed to (if disclosed):
	The public
	Investors upon request
	Other stakeholders upon request
	Other:
	Physical risk mangement and value creation
	Targets or goals:
	Target year:
	Physical risk management and value creation targets and goals are disclosed to (if disclosed):
	The public
	Investors upon request
	Other stakeholders upon request
	Other
	
	Social risk management and value creation
	Targets or goals:
	Target year:
	Social risk management and value creation targets and goals are disclosed to (if disclosed):
	The public
	Investors upon request
	Other stakeholders upon request
	Other:
	Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL?
	O Yes
	UPLOAD or URL

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	_
O No	
O No	
Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)	

RS10	Did the organization track specific climate risk and/or resilience-related performance metrics associated with the entity during the reporting year?
0	Yes
	Please describe the metrics used to track outcomes:
	Transition risk management and resilience performance metrics
	List the metrics related to the most material issues facing the entity:
	Tracking of performance metrics:
	Continuous
	Monthly
	Quarterly
	Annual
	Other
	These performance metrics are disclosed to (if disclosed): The public
	Investors upon request
	Other stakeholders upon request
	Other:
	Physical risk management and resilience performance metrics
	List the metrics related to the most material issues facing the entity:
	Tracking of performance metrics: Continuous
	Monthly
	Quarterly
	Annual
	Other
	These performance metrics are disclosed to (if disclosed): The public
	Investors upon request
	Other stakeholders upon request

Uther:
Social risk management and resilience performance metrics
List the metrics related to the most material issues facing the entity:
Tracking of performance metrics: Continuous
Monthly
Quarterly
Annual
Other
These performance metrics are disclosed to (if disclosed): The public Investors upon request Other stakeholders upon request
Other:
Can the entity provide evidence as an UPLOAD or URL? Yes
UPLOAD or URL Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found No
O No
Provide additional context for the answer provided (maximum 250 words)