



2019

Developer Assessment

Contents

Introduction	
2019 Developer Assessment Changes	
Entity & Reporting Characteristics	
Management	
Policy & Disclosure	
Risks & EMS	
Stakeholder Engagement	
New Construction & Major Renovations	

Disclaimer: 2019 GRESB Developer Assessment

The 2019 GRESB Developer Assessment Document accompanies the 2019 GRESB Developer Assessment and is published both as a standalone document and in the GRESB Portal alongside each Assessment indicator. The Assessment Document reflects the opinions of GRESB and not of our members. The information in the Assessment Document has been provided in good faith and is provided on an “as is” basis. We take reasonable care to check the accuracy and completeness of the Assessment Document prior to its publication. While we do not anticipate major changes, we reserve the right to make modifications to the Assessment Document. We will publicly announce any such modifications. The Assessment Document is not provided as the basis for any professional advice or for transactional use. GRESB and its advisors, consultants and sub-contractors shall not be responsible or liable for any advice given to third parties, any investment decisions or trading or any other actions taken by you or by third parties based on information contained in the Assessment Document. Except where stated otherwise, GRESB is the exclusive owner of all intellectual property rights in all the information contained in the Assessment Document.

Introduction

About GRESB

GRESB is the environmental, social and governance (ESG) benchmark for real assets. Working in collaboration with the industry, GRESB defines the global standard for sustainability performance in real assets providing standardized and validated ESG data to more than 75 institutional investors, representing over USD 18 trillion in institutional capital.

For more information, [visit gresb.com](https://www.gresb.com). Follow [@GRESB](https://twitter.com/GRESB) on Twitter.

Overview of GRESB Real Estate Assessments

GRESB Real Estate Assessment

The GRESB Real Estate Assessment is the global standard for ESG benchmarking and reporting for listed property companies, private property funds, developers and investors that invest directly in real estate. The Assessment evaluates performance against 7 Sustainability Aspects, including information on performance indicators, such as energy, GHG emissions, water and waste. The methodology is consistent across different regions, investment vehicles and property types and aligns with international reporting frameworks, such as GRI and PRI.

The GRESB Real Estate Assessment provides investors with actionable information and tools to monitor and manage the ESG risks and opportunities of their investments, and to prepare for increasingly rigorous ESG obligations. Assessment participants receive comparative business intelligence on where they stand against their peers, a roadmap with the actions they can take to improve their ESG performance and a communication platform to engage with investors.

GRESB Developer Assessment

In addition to the GRESB Real Estate Assessment for property companies and fund managers that focus on the management of standing investments, GRESB provides a stand-alone GRESB Developer Assessment to evaluate the ESG performance of organizations that focus on development activities. The Developer Assessment focuses on policies, strategies, and measurable actions related to new construction and major renovation projects. It contains a subset of indicators from the GRESB Real Estate Assessment, plus the 14 indicators in the New Construction & Major Renovations (NC&MR) Aspect.

The GRESB Developer Assessment is designed for:

- Organizations that develop projects, or acquire development projects, with the aim to sell the projects at completion. Projects can be developed to a tenant's specification (build to suit), commissioned by an investment manager, or can be developed at risk;
- Organizations that acquire properties exclusively for redevelopment and resale;
- Organizations that manage standing investments as a by-product of their development activities, and for whom the development activities are considered to be the core business.

Supplement: Resilience

The GRESB Resilience Module is an optional supplement to the GRESB Real Estate and Infrastructure Assessments. It evaluates how real estate and infrastructure companies and funds are preparing for potentially disruptive events and changing conditions, assessing long-term trends, and becoming more resilient over time.

The Module is motivated by two key factors:

- To meet investor demand for information about the resilience of property and infrastructure companies and funds; and

- To increase access to information about resilience-promoting actions among companies and funds.

Supplement: NAREIT Leader in the Light

GRESB works in close collaboration with the National Association of Real Estate Investments Trusts (Nareit), a GRESB Industry Partner. NAREIT encourages its corporate members to complete the annual GRESB Real Estate Assessments, which, for the past six years, has been the basis for their annual Leader in the Light Award competition. The Leader in the Light Awards are presented to REITs in eight property sectors: Diversified, Global (for non-U.S. companies), Health Care, Industrial, Lodging/Resorts, Office, Residential and Retail. If there are both large and small cap entries that meet the awards criteria in a given property sector, awards are presented to both the leading large and small cap companies. To participate in the Leader in the Light Award program, Nareit members must complete both the GRESB Real Estate Assessment and the Leader in the Light Supplement. Once all sections of the GRESB Real Estate Assessment are completed, including the Leader in the Light Supplement, participants are able to submit their entire submission which will automatically be included in the Leader in the Light Award competition.

The role of the GRESB benchmark

GRESB's global benchmark uses a consistent methodology to compare performance across different regions, investment vehicles and property types. This consistency, combined with our broad market coverage, means our members and participants can apply a single, globally recognized ESG framework to all their real estate investments.

GRESB results provide a practical way to understand ESG performance and communicate that performance to investors and other stakeholders. GRESB provides overall scores of ESG performance - such as the GRESB Score and GRESB Ratings - as well as detailed aspect-level and individual indicator-level assessments of performance. The key to analyzing GRESB data is in peer group comparisons that take into account country, regional, sectoral and investment type variations. This richer analysis enables fund managers and companies to understand their results in the context of their investment strategies and communicate this to their investors.

GRESB is committed to facilitating the use of its ESG metrics in investment decision-making processes and encouraging an active dialogue between investors, fund managers and companies on ESG issues. GRESB updates its Investor Member Guidance on an annual basis to assist GRESB Investor Members in their engagement with managers.

Timeline and Process

The GRESB Developer Assessment opens in the Assessment Portal on April 1, 2019. The submission deadline is July 1, 2019, providing participants with a three-month window to complete the Assessment. This is a fixed deadline, and GRESB will not accept submissions received after this date.

The GRESB validation process starts on June 15 and continues until July 31, 2019. We may need to contact you during this time to clarify any issues with your response.

Results are published in September and are distributed as follows:

- Participants: Receive a Scorecard for all of their Assessment submissions.
- Fund Manager and Company Members: Receive a Scorecard and Benchmark Report for all of their Assessment submissions, discounts on products and services, additional functionality in the Member Portal and preferential marketing, industry recognition, and networking opportunities.
- Investor Members: Receive Benchmark Reports for all of their investments and have access to GRESB's Member Portal, which contains additional analysis tools to create reports based on a selection of their investments.

For an overview of key dates and activities for the 2019 Assessment cycle, please see the [Assessment timeline](#).

Participants are able to contact the [GRESB Helpdesk](#) at any time for support and guidance.

GRESB Assessment Training Program

GRESB Real Estate Assessment Training is designed to help participants, potential participants and other GRESB stakeholders (managers, consultants, data partners) improve their ESG reporting through the GRESB Real Estate Assessment.

The training is divided into two sessions – Introductory and Advanced – to reflect the level of experience with GRESB.

- Introductory Training covers the “what” and “how to” of the GRESB Real Estate Assessment. It presents the Assessment’s scope, processes and scoring components, addressing the Assessment topics at a high level and providing practical reporting tips. This training program is best suited for new participants and those who have not yet attended a previous GRESB training.
- Advanced Training tackles complex reporting and scoring components of the Assessment including performance indicators, and includes hands-on case studies and exercises.

Both programs are delivered via face-to-face group sessions, in select locations across all regions with GRESB participation, including Europe, North America and Asia Pacific. [See dates and locations for 2019 GRESB Assessment Training.](#)

2019 GRESB Developer Assessment Changes

GRESB works closely with its members and broader industry stakeholders to ensure the Assessment addresses material issues in the sustainability performance of real estate investments. The main focus of the 2019 Assessment development process were enhancements to asset-level reporting functionality and the integration of selected Health & Well-being Module elements. The changes serve the longer term development of the Assessment, support our efforts for good quality data and reflect the evolution of the real estate industry as measured by the benchmark over the last years.

The table below lists all changes, as well as their implications for your reporting process.

High-level comments

The access to the Template Tool is no longer restricted to members.

The template tool enables participants to copy information across multiple submissions, reducing the amount of time spent replicating information across entities held by the same fund manager.

The Validation Interview process changes structure and will be mainly based on a desktop review.

While the scope of the Validation Interview will remain the same (the validators will do an in-depth analysis of all supporting evidences, mandatory and non-mandatory), the Validation Interview report, the call with the participant, and the participant's ability to change their responses following the call will be removed from the process. Participants will continue to be automatically notified if they are selected for a Validation Interview and there may still be instances where we need to contact the participant for missing supporting evidence, additional information, clarifications or corrections to the data submitted.

A selection of Health & Well-being indicators are incorporated into the Developer Assessment

With the release of the 2018 results and after a successful 3-year cycle, the Health & Well-being Module has served its purpose as an exploratory vehicle and incubator for new indicators. In 2019, a selection of health & well-being indicators are incorporated into the GRESB Developer Assessment, effectively making these indicators a reporting requirement for all GRESB participants. The newly introduced Health & Well-being indicators are grouped as a separate section in the Stakeholder Engagement aspect.

Validation Plus indicators

The Validation Plus indicator selection is performed by GRESB and is subject to change on an annual basis in order to rotate the validation scope every year. This allows GRESB to apply a consistent level of scrutiny on all participating entities. In 2019, the following changes are introduced:

- MA1, PD3: are excluded from the Validation Plus scope and become APC indicators. 2018 supporting evidence is pre-filled and pre-validated
- MA5, PD1: become Validation Plus indicators and the supporting evidence is reviewed for all participants

Indicators level comments

	Entity & Reporting Characteristics
RC-NC1.1	Vacant land is excluded from the reporting scope.

	Rationale for change: Vacant land does not share the same ESG issues as standing investments or development projects, and it does not directly associate to any performance indicator.
	Policy and Disclosure
PD2	<p>Two new options are added “Employee Health & Well-being” and “Tenant/customer and community health & well-being”.</p> <hr/> <p>Rationale for change: This is part of the Health & Well-being integration.</p> <p>Impact of change: The scope of the indicator is expanded to assess the presence of policies to address employee, tenant/customer and community health and well-being. The indicator will still be pre-filled for 2018 participants.</p>
PD4	<p>Non-scored indicator is further developed to introduce a set of quantitative diversity metrics and the ability to report on governance bodies and employees separately.</p> <hr/> <p>Rationale for change: This indicator was introduced as a non-scored indicator in 2018. Building on last year’s answers, we have further developed it into a more analytical indicator on diversity.</p> <p>Impact of change: The changes bring a better alignment with the GRI Standards and EPRA’s SBPRs.</p>
PD5.2	<p>Third-party verification and third-party assurance of sustainability disclosure receive equal points.</p> <hr/> <p>Rationale for change: Over the past years, the non-financial information third-party review industry has witnessed the development of several new verification and assurance standards. The level of scrutiny underpinning such third-party reviews tends to be dictated by the standard used, rather than the terminology used to describe the review process.</p> <p>Impact of change: The scoring is adjusted to recognize external verification in the same way as external assurance. “Other” answers provided to the Scheme name dropdown menu are subject to validation.</p>
	Stakeholder Engagement
SE3.1	<p>Indicator is incorporated into SE5.1</p> <hr/> <p>Rationale for change: Consolidation of health & well-being indicators into an individual section</p> <p>Impact of change: Indicator maintains its score within SE5.1 and is pre-filled with the 2018 information (if applicable)</p>
SE5.1/ SE5.2	<p>New indicators on employees health and well-being.</p> <hr/> <p>Rationale for change: Integration of select indicators from the old Health & Well-being Module into the Developer Assessment.</p> <p>Impact of change: The weight of the Stakeholder Engagement aspect increases as a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SE5.1: 2p, S, IM • SE5.2: 0p, S, IM

New Construction and Major Renovation

NC7.2 **The open textboxes for describing the entity's definition of "net-zero energy" and referenced code/standards are replaced by checkboxes.**

Rationale for change: Responses in open textboxes are difficult to compare and provide little analytical value.

Impact of change: An easier to report, better-structured indicator.

Entity & Reporting Characteristics

Entity Characteristics

EC1 Reporting entity

Entity name: _____

Fund Manager Organization Name (if applicable): _____

EC2 Nature of ownership:

☐ Listed entity

Please specify ISIN: _____

Year of commencement: _____

Legal status:

☐ Property company

☐ Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT)

☐ Non-listed entity

Year of first closing: _____

Entity style classification:

☐ Core

☐ Value Added

☐ Opportunistic

Open or closed end:

☐ Open end

☐ Closed end

Finite or infinite structure:

☐ Finite structure

Specify termination date: _____

☐ Infinite structure

☐ Government entity

EC3 The reporting period is:

☐ Calendar year

☐ Fiscal year

Specify the starting month Month ▼

EC4 Is the organization a member of an industry association?

☐ Yes (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Asian Association for Investors in Non-listed Real Estate Vehicles (ANREV)
- ☐ Asia Pacific Real Estate Association (APREA)
- ☐ British Property Federation (BPF)
- ☐ European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV)
- ☐ European Public Real Estate Association (EPRA)
- ☐ National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT)
- ☐ Pension Real Estate Association (PREA)
- ☐ Real Property Association of Canada (REALpac)
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ No

Reporting Characteristics

RC1 Values are reported in: Currency ▼

Currency

- Australian Dollar (AUD)
- Brazilian Real (BRL)
- Canadian Dollar (CAD)
- Chinese Yuan (CNY)
- Danish Krone (DKK)
- Euro (EUR)
- Hong Kong Dollar (HKD)
- Indian Rupee (INR)
- Japanese Yen (JPY)
- Malaysian Ringgit (MYR)
- Mexican Peso (MXN)
- New Zealand Dollar (NZD)
- Norwegian Krone (NOK)
- Philippine Peso (PHP)
- Pound Sterling (GBP)
- Singapore Dollar (SGD)
- South African Rand (ZAR)
- South Korean Won (KRW)
- Swedish Krona (SEK)
- Swiss Franc (CHF)
- United States Dollar (USD)
- Other: _____

RC2 What was the gross asset value (GAV) of the entity at the end of the reporting period in millions?

RC3 Metrics are reported in:

- ☐ m2
- ☐ sq. ft.

RC4 What is the entity's core business?

- ☐ Management of standing investments only (continue with RC5.1, RC5.2, RC6)
- ☐ Management of standing investments and development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with RC5.1, RC5.2, RC6, RC-NC1, RC-NC2, RC-NC3)
- ☐ Development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Developer Assessment)

New Construction & Major Renovations

RC-NC1.1 Describe the composition of the entity's new construction projects during the reporting period

Property type	In progress at the end of reporting period			Completed during reporting period		
	Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area	GAV* in million	Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area	GAV* in million
Retail, High Street						
Retail, Shopping Center						
Retail, Warehouse						
Office						
Industrial, Distribution Warehouse						
Industrial, Business Parks						
Industrial, Manufacturing						
Residential, Multi-family						
Residential, Family Homes						
Senior Homes						
Residential, Student Housing						
Hotel						
Healthcare						
Medical Office						
Lodging, Leisure & Recreation						
Data Centers						
Self-storage						
Parking (indoors)						
Other						
Other						

Note: The table above defines the scope of your 2019 GRESB submission on development projects and should include new construction projects that are in progress at the end of reporting period, as well as projects that are completed during the reporting period. The reporting scope reported above should exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

*GAV either according to fair value or based on construction costs

RC-NC1.2 Does the table above list all the entity's new construction projects as per the reporting requirements described above?

☐ Yes

Provide additional context for the reporting boundaries on new construction projects (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

Provide additional context for the reporting boundaries on new construction projects (maximum 250 words)

RC-NC2.1 Describe the composition of the entity's major renovation projects during the reporting period

Property type	In progress at the end of reporting period			Completed during reporting period		
	Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area	GAV* in million	Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area	GAV* in million
Retail, High Street						
Retail, Shopping Center						
Retail, Warehouse						
Office						
Industrial, Distribution Warehouse						
Industrial, Business Parks						
Industrial, Manufacturing						
Residential, Multi-family						
Residential, Family Homes						
Senior Homes						
Residential, Student Housing						
Hotel						
Healthcare						
Medical Office						
Lodging, Leisure & Recreation						
Data Centers						
Self-storage						
Parking (indoors)						
Other						
Other						

Note: The table above defines the scope of your 2019 GRESB submission on development projects and should include major renovation projects that are in progress at the end of reporting period, as well as projects that are completed during the reporting period. The reporting scope reported above should exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

*GAV either according to fair value or based on construction costs

RC-NC2.2 Does the table above list all the entity's major renovation projects as per the reporting requirements described above?

☐ Yes

Provide additional context for the reporting boundaries on major renovation projects (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

Provide additional context for the reporting boundaries on major renovation projects (maximum 250 words)

RC-NC3 Which countries/states are included in the entity’s new construction and/or major renovation projects portfolio?

Country	% of GAV
Select country ▼	
Select country ▼	
Select country ▼	



Management

Sustainability Objectives

2018 Indicator

MA1 Does the entity have specific ESG objectives?

☐ Yes

The objectives relate to (multiple answers possible)

☐ General sustainability

☐ Environment

☐ Social

☐ Governance

☐ Health and well-being

The objectives are

☐ Fully integrated into the overall business strategy

☐ Partially integrated into the overall business strategy

☐ Not integrated into the overall business strategy

The objectives are

☐ Publicly available

Please provide a hyperlink or a separate publicly available document

UPLOAD or URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ Not publicly available

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

Communicate the objectives and explain how the objectives are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

MA1

MA2 Does the organization have one or more persons responsible for implementing the ESG objectives referenced in MA1?

MA2

☐ Yes

Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)

☐ Dedicated employee(s) for whom sustainability is the core responsibility

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name: _____

Job title: _____

E-mail: _____

LinkedIn profile (optional): _____

☐ Employee(s) for whom sustainability is among their responsibilities

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name: _____

Job title: _____

E-mail: _____

LinkedIn profile (optional): _____

☐ External consultants/manager

Name of the organization

Name of the main contact: _____

Job title: _____

E-mail: _____

LinkedIn profile (optional): _____

☐ Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners)

Name of the main contact: _____

Job title: _____

E-mail: _____

LinkedIn profile (optional): _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

MA3 Does the organization have a sustainability taskforce or committee that is applicable to the entity?

☐ Yes

Select the members of this taskforce or committee (multiple answers possible)

☐ Asset managers

☐ Board of Directors

☐ External consultants

Name of the organization

☐ Fund/portfolio managers

☐ Property managers

☐ Senior Management Team

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

MA3

MA4 Does the organization have a senior decision-maker accountable for the entity's sustainability strategy?

☐ Yes

Provide the details for the most senior decision-maker on sustainability issues

Name: _____

Job title: _____

E-mail: _____

LinkedIn profile (optional): _____

The individual is part of

☐ Board of Directors

☐ Senior Management Team

☐ Fund/portfolio managers

☐ Investment Committee

☐ Other: _____

Please describe the process of informing the most senior decision-maker on the sustainability performance of the entity (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

MA4

MA5 Does the organization include ESG factors in the annual performance targets of the employees responsible for this entity?

MA5

☐ Yes

Does performance on these targets have predetermined consequences?

☐ Yes

☐ Financial consequences

☐ Non-financial consequences

☐ No

Select the employees to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible):

☐ All employees

☐ Board of Directors

☐ Senior Management Team

☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No



Policy & Disclosure

ESG Policies

2018 Indicator

PD1 Does the organization have a policy/policies in place, applicable to the entity level, that address(es) environmental issues?

☐ Yes

Select all environmental issues included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Biodiversity and habitat
- ☐ Climate/climate change adaptation
- ☐ Energy consumption/management
- ☐ Environmental attributes of building materials
- ☐ GHG emissions/management
- ☐ Resilience
- ☐ Waste management
- ☐ Water consumption/management
- ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

PD1

PD2 Does the organization have a policy/policies in place, applicable to the entity level, that address(es) social issues?

PD2

☐ Yes

Select all social issues included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Child labor
- ☐ Diversity and equal opportunity
- ☐ Forced or compulsory labor
- ☐ Occupational safety (for employees)
- ☐ Asset level safety (for tenants)
- ☐ Employee health & well-being
- ☐ Tenant/customer and community health & well-being
- ☐ Labor-management relationships
- ☐ Employee performance and career development
- ☐ Stakeholder engagement
- ☐ Worker rights
- ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ No

PD3 Does the organization have a policy/policies in place, applicable to the entity level, that address(es) governance issues?

PD3

☐ Yes

Select all governance issues included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Bribery and corruption
- ☐ Data protection and privacy
- ☐ Employee remuneration
- ☐ Executive compensation
- ☐ Fiduciary duty
- ☐ Fraud
- ☐ Political contributions
- ☐ Shareholder rights
- ☐ Whistleblower protection
- ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD or document name_____ and publication date_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

PD4 Does the organization monitor the diversity of the entity's governance bodies and/or the organization's employees?

PD4

☐ Yes

☐ Diversity of the entity's governance bodies

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

☐ Age group distribution

☐ Board tenure

☐ Gender pay gap

☐ Gender ratio

Percentage of employees that are:

Women: _____%

Men: _____%

☐ International background

☐ Racial diversity

☐ Socioeconomic background

☐ Diversity of the organization's employees

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

☐ Age group distribution

Percentage of employees that are:

Under 30 years old: _____%

Between 30 and 50 years old: _____%

Over 50 years old: _____%

☐ Gender pay gap

☐ Gender ratio

Percentage of employees that are:

Women: _____%

Men: _____%

☐ International background

☐ Racial diversity

☐ Socioeconomic background

Provide additional context for the response (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

PD5.1

Does the organization disclose its ESG actions and/or performance?

☐ Yes (multiple answers possible)

☐ Section in Annual Report

Select the applicable reporting level

☐ Entity

☐ Investment manager

☐ Group

UPLOAD or URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

Aligned with

☐ Stand-alone sustainability report(s)

Select the applicable reporting level

☐ Entity

☐ Investment manager

☐ Group

UPLOAD or URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

Aligned with

☐ Integrated Report

*Integrated Report must be aligned with IIRC framework

Select the applicable reporting level

☐ Entity

☐ Investment manager

☐ Group

UPLOAD or URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

Aligned with

☐ Dedicated section on corporate website

Select the applicable reporting level

☐ Entity

☐ Investment manager

☐ Group

URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☒ Section in entity reporting to investors

Aligned with Guideline name ▼

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☒ Other: _____

Select the applicable reporting level

☐ Entity

☐ Investment manager

☐ Group

UPLOAD or URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

Aligned with Guideline name ▼

☐ No

Guideline name

- ANREV Sustainability Reporting Guidelines, 2016
- EPRA Best Practice Recommendations in Sustainability Reporting, 2017
- GRI Standards, 2016
- GRI Sustainability Reporting Guidelines, G4
- IIRC International Integrated Reporting Framework, 2013
- INREV Sustainability Guidelines, 2016
- PRI Reporting Framework, 2018
- TCFD Recommendations, 2017
- Other: _____

PD5.2 Does the organization have an independent third party review of its ESG disclosure?

PD5.2

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible, selections must match answers in PD5.1)

☐ Section in Annual Report

☐ Externally checked by

☐ Externally verified by

using

☐ Externally assured by

using

☐ Stand-alone sustainability report

☐ Externally checked by

☐ Externally verified by

using

☐ Externally assured by

using

☐ Integrated Report

☐ Externally checked by

☐ Externally verified by

using

☐ Externally assured by

using

☐ Section in entity reporting to investors

☐ Externally checked by

☐ Externally verified by

using

☐ Externally assured by

using

☐ Other: _____

☐ Externally checked by

☐ Externally verified by

using

☐ Externally assured by

using Scheme name ▼

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

Scheme name

- AA1000AS
- Advanced technologies promotion Subsidy Scheme with Emission reduction Target (ASSET)
- Airport Carbon Accreditation (ACA) des Airports Council International Europe
- Alberta Specified Gas Emitters Regulation
- ASAE3000
- Attestation Standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants/AICPA (AT101)
- Australia National Greenhouse and Energy Regulations (NGER Act)
- California Mandatory GHG Reporting Regulations (also known as Californian Air Resources Board regulations)
- Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Handbook: Assurance Section 5025
- Carbon Trust Standard
- Chicago Climate Exchange verification standard
- Climate Registry General Verification Protocol (also known as California Climate Action Registry (CCAR))
- Compagnie Nationale des Commissaires aux Comptes (CNCC)
- Corporate GHG Verification Guidelines from ERT
- DNV Verisustain Protocol/ Verification Protocol for Sustainability Reporting
- Earthcheck Certified
- Enviro-Mark Solutions' CEMARS (Certified Emissions Measurement And Reduction Scheme) standard
- ERM GHG Performance Data Assurance Methodology
- IDW PS 821: IDW Prüfungsstandard: Grundsätze ordnungsmäßiger Prüfung oder prüferischer Durchsicht von Berichten im Bereich der Nachhaltigkeit
- IDW AsS 821: IDW Assurance Standard: Generally Accepted Assurance Principles for the Audit or Review of Reports on Sustainability Issues
- ISAE 3000
- ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements
- ISO14064-3
- JVETS (Japanese Voluntary Emissions Trading Scheme) Guideline for verification
- Korean GHG and energy target management system
- NMX-SAA-14064-3-IMNC: Instituto Mexicano de Normalización y Certificación A.C
- Compagnie Nationale des Commissaires aux Comptes (CNCC)
- RevR 6 Bestyrkande av hållbarhetsredovisning (RevR 6 Assurance of Sustainability)
- RevR6 Procedure for assurance of sustainability report from Far, the Swedish auditors professional body
- Saitama Prefecture Target-Setting Emissions Trading Program
- SGS Sustainability Report Assurance
- Spanish Institute of Registered Auditors (ICJCE)
- Standard 3810N Assurance engagements relating to sustainability reports of the Royal Netherlands Institute of Registered Accountants
- State of Israel Ministry of Environmental Protection, VERIFICATION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND EMISSIONS REDUCTION IN ISRAEL GUIDANCE DOCUMENT FOR CONDUCTING VERIFICATIONS, Process A.
- Swiss Climate CO2 label
- Thai Greenhouse Gas Management Organisation (TGO) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Verification Protocol
- The Climate Registry's General Verification Protocol (also known as California Climate Action Registry (CCAR))
- Tokyo Emissions Trading Scheme
- Verification under the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS) Directive and EU ETS related national implementation laws
- Other: _____

PD6 Has the organization made a public commitment to ESG leadership standards or groups that applies to investments in this entity?

PD6

☐ Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- ☐ Montreal Pledge
- ☐ PRI signatory
- ☐ RE 100
- ☐ Science Based Targets initiative
- ☐ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- ☐ UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative
- ☐ UN Global Compact
- ☐ Other: _____

Please provide applicable hyperlink

URL_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

PD7.1 Does the entity have a process to communicate about ESG-related misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents?

PD7.1

☐ Yes

The entity would communicate misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents to:

- ☐ Investors
- ☐ Public
- ☐ Other stakeholders: _____

Describe the process (maximum 250 words): _____

☐ No

PD7.2 Has the entity been involved in any ESG-related misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents in the reporting year?

PD7.2

☐ Yes

Specify the total number of cases imposed: _____

Specify the total value of fines and/or penalties resulting from these cases

Provide additional context for the response (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

* The information in PD7.1 and PD7.2 may be used as criteria for the recognition of 2019 Sector Leaders

Risks & EMS

Governance

2018 Indicator

RE1 Does the organization have systems and procedures in place to facilitate effective implementation of the governance policy/policies in PD3?

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

☐ Investment due diligence process

☐ Training related to governance risks for employees (multiple answers possible)

☐ Regular follow-ups

☐ When an employee joins the organization

☐ Whistle-blower mechanism

☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD or document name_____ and publication date_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

RE1

RE2 Did the entity perform entity-level governance and/or social risk assessments within the last three years?

RE2

☐ Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Bribery and corruption
- ☐ Child labor
- ☐ Diversity and equal opportunity
- ☐ Executive compensation
- ☐ Forced or compulsory labor
- ☐ Labor-management relationships
- ☐ Shareholder rights
- ☐ Worker rights
- ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD or document name_____ and publication date_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

RE3 Does the entity perform asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments as a standard part of its due diligence process for new acquisitions?

☐ Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Building safety and materials
- ☐ Climate change adaptation
- ☐ Contamination
- ☐ Energy efficiency
- ☐ Energy supply
- ☐ Flooding
- ☐ GHG emissions
- ☐ Health and well-being
- ☐ Indoor environmental quality
- ☐ Natural hazards
- ☐ Regulatory
- ☐ Resilience
- ☐ Socio-economic
- ☐ Transportation
- ☐ Water efficiency
- ☐ Waste management
- ☐ Water supply
- ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

RE4 Does the organization have an Environmental Management System (EMS) that applies to the entity level?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ The EMS is aligned with a standard
 - ☐ ISO 14001
 - ☐ EMAS (EU Eco-Management and Audit Scheme)
 - ☐ Other: _____
 - ☐ The EMS is externally certified by an independent third party

Name of the organization

 - ☐ ISO 14001
 - ☐ EMAS (EU Eco-Management and Audit Scheme)
 - ☐ Other: _____
 - ☐ The EMS is not aligned with a standard nor certified externally

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

- ☐ No



Stakeholder Engagement

Employees

2018 Indicator

SE1 Does the organization provide regular trainings for the employees responsible for the entity?

SE1

☐ Yes

Percentage of employees who received professional training in 2018

Percentage of employees who received sustainability-specific training in 2018

Sustainability-specific training focuses on the following elements (multiple answers possible)

☐ Training topics on environmental issues

☐ Contamination

☐ Greenhouse gas emissions

☐ Energy

☐ Natural hazards

☐ Regulatory standards

☐ Supply chain environmental impacts

☐ Waste

☐ Water

☐ Other: _____

☐ Training topics on social issues

☐ Community social and economic impacts

☐ Safety

☐ Community safety

☐ Customer / tenant safety

☐ Employee safety

☐ Supply chain safety

☐ Health and well-being

☐ Community health and well-being

☐ Customer / tenant health and well-being

☐ Employee health and well-being

☐ Supply chain health and well-being

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

SE2.1 Has the organization undertaken an employee satisfaction survey during the last three years?

SE2.1

☐ Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

☐ Internally

Percentage of employees covered: _____%

Survey response rate: _____%

☐ By an independent third party

Percentage of employees covered: _____%

Name of the organization

Survey response rate: _____%

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

The survey includes quantitative metrics

☐ Yes

Metrics include

☐ Net Promoter Score

☐ Overall satisfaction score

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

☐ No

SE2.2 Does the organization have a program in place to improve its employee satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in SE2.1?

SE2.2

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

☐ Development of action plan

☐ Feedback sessions with Senior Management Team

☐ Feedback sessions with separate teams/departments

☐ Focus groups

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

SE3 Has the organization monitored conditions for and/or tracked indicators of employee safety during the last three years?

SE3.2

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

☐ Work station and/or workplace checks

Percentage of employees: _____ %

☐ Absentee rate: _____

☐ Injury rate: _____

☐ Lost day rate: _____

☐ Other metrics: _____

Rate of other metric(s): _____

Explain the employee occupational safety indicators calculation method (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

SE4.1 Does the entity include ESG-specific requirements in procurement processes to drive sustainable procurement?

SE4.1

☐ Yes

Select all issues covered by procurement processes (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Business ethics
- ☐ Environmental process standards
- ☐ Environmental product standards
- ☐ Human rights
- ☐ Human health-based product standards
- ☐ Occupational safety
- ☐ Health and well-being
- ☐ ESG-specific requirements for sub-contractors
- ☐ Other: _____

Select the external parties to whom the requirements apply (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Contractors
- ☐ Property/asset managers
- ☐ Suppliers
- ☐ Supply chain (beyond 1 tier suppliers and contractors)
- ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

SE4.2 Does the entity engage with its supply chains to ensure the specific ESG requirements in SE4.1 are met?

SE4.2

☐ Yes

Describe the process (maximum 500 words): _____

- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

SE5.1 Does the organization have a program in place for promoting health & well-being of employees?

NEW

☐ Yes

The program includes (multiple answers possible):

☐ Needs assessment

The organization monitors employee health and well-being needs through (multiple answers possible):

☐ Employee surveys on health and well-being

Percentage of employees: _____%

☐ Physical and/or mental health checks

Percentage of employees: _____%

☐ Other: _____

Percentage of employees: _____%

☐ Goal setting

☐ Action

☐ Monitoring

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ No

SE5.2 Does the organization take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for employees described in SE5.1?

NEW

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Creation of goals to address
 - ☐ Mental health and well-being
 - ☐ Physical health and well-being
 - ☐ Social health and well-being
 - ☐ Other: _____
- ☐ Action to promote health through
 - ☐ Acoustic comfort
 - ☐ Biophilic design
 - ☐ Physical activity
 - ☐ Healthy eating
 - ☐ Inclusive design
 - ☐ Indoor air quality
 - ☐ Lighting controls and/or daylight
 - ☐ Physical and/or mental healthcare access
 - ☐ Social interaction and connection
 - ☐ Thermal comfort
 - ☐ Water quality
 - ☐ Other building design and construction strategy: _____
 - ☐ Other building operations strategy: _____
 - ☐ Other programmatic intervention: _____
- ☐ Monitor outcomes by tracking
 - ☐ Environmental quality
 - ☐ Program performance
 - ☐ Population experience and opinions
 - ☐ Other: _____

☐ No

New Construction & Major Renovations

Sustainability Requirements

2018 Indicator

NC1 Does the entity have a sustainability strategy in place for new construction and major renovation projects?

☐ Yes

Elements addressed in the strategy (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Biodiversity and habitat
- ☐ Climate/climate change adaptation
- ☐ Energy consumption/management
- ☐ Environmental attributes of building materials
- ☐ GHG emissions/management
- ☐ Green building certifications
- ☐ Building safety
- ☐ Health and well-being
- ☐ Location and transportation
- ☐ Resilience
- ☐ Supply chain
- ☐ Water consumption/management
- ☐ Waste management
- ☐ Other: _____

The strategy is

☐ Publicly available

Please provide a hyperlink or a separate publicly available document

UPLOAD or URL _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ Not publicly available

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

Communicate the objectives and explain how the objectives are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

☐ No

NC2 Does the entity require sustainable site selection criteria to be considered for new construction and major renovation projects?

NC1

☐ Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Connect to multi-modal transit networks
- ☐ Locate projects within existing developed areas
- ☐ Protect, restore, and conserve aquatic ecosystems
- ☐ Protect, restore, and conserve farmland
- ☐ Protect, restore, and conserve floodplain functions
- ☐ Protect, restore, and conserve habitats for threatened and endangered species
- ☐ Redevelop brownfield sites
- ☐ Other: _____

The entity's sustainable site selection criteria are aligned with

☐ Third-party guidelines

Specify: _____

☐ Third-party rating system(s)

Specify scheme(s)/sub-scheme(s): _____

☐ Other: _____

☐ Not aligned

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC2

NC3 Does the entity have sustainable site design/development requirements for new construction and major renovation projects?

NC3

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Manage waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal
- ☐ Manage waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks, and soil from disposal
- ☐ Protect air quality during construction
- ☐ Protect surface water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- ☐ Protect and restore habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- ☐ Other: _____

The entity's sustainable site design/development criteria are aligned with

☐ Third-party guidelines

Specify: _____

☐ Third-party rating system(s)

Specify scheme(s)/sub-scheme(s): _____

☐ Other: _____

☐ Not aligned

UPLOAD or document name_____ and publication date_____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

NC4 Does the entity require that the environmental and health attributes of building materials be considered for new construction and major renovation projects?

☐ Yes

Select all issues addressed (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Formal adoption of a policy on health attributes of building materials
- ☐ Formal adoption of a policy on the environmental attributes and performance of building materials
- ☐ Requirement for information (disclosure) about the environmental and/or health attributes of building materials (multiple answers possible)
 - ☐ Health and environmental information
 - ☐ Environmental Product Declarations
 - ☐ Health Product Declarations
 - ☐ Other types of health and environmental information: _____
- ☐ Material characteristics specification, including (multiple answers possible)
 - ☐ Preference for materials that disclose environmental impacts
 - ☐ Preference for materials that disclose potential health hazards
 - ☐ "Red list" of prohibited materials or ingredients that should not be used on the basis of their human and/or environmental impacts
 - ☐ Locally extracted or recovered materials
 - ☐ Rapidly renewable materials, low embodied carbon materials, and recycled content materials
 - ☐ Materials that can easily be recycled
 - ☐ Third-party certified wood-based materials and products
 - Types of third-party certification used: _____
 - ☐ Low-emitting materials
 - ☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC5.1 Does the entity's new construction and major renovation portfolio include projects that are aligned with green building rating standards

NC5.1

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ The entity requires projects to align with requirements of a third-party green building rating system but does not require certification

Percentage portfolio covered ▼

Green building rating systems (include all that apply): _____

- ☐ The entity requires projects to achieve certification with a green building rating system but does not require a specific level of certification

Percentage portfolio covered ▼

Green building rating systems (include all that apply): _____

- ☐ The entity requires projects to achieve a specific level of certification

Percentage portfolio covered ▼

Green building rating systems (include all that apply): _____

Level of certification adopted as a standard by the entity (include all applicable rating systems):

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

Percentage portfolio covered

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> > 0%, < 25% | <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 25%, < 50% |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 50%, < 75% | <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 75%, ≤ 100% |

NC5.2 Does the entity’s new construction and major renovation portfolio include projects that obtained or are registered to obtain a green building certificate?

NC5.2

☐ Yes

Specify the certification scheme(s) used and the percentage of the portfolio registered and/or certified (multiple answers possible)

Scheme name/ sub-scheme name	Level of certification	% portfolio covered by floor area	Number of certified projects
Select scheme ▼			
Select scheme ▼			
Select scheme ▼			

Scheme name/ sub-scheme name	Level of certification	% portfolio covered by floor area	Number of certified projects
Select scheme ▼			
Select scheme ▼			
Select scheme ▼			

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

Scheme Name/Sub-scheme Name

A list of provisionally validated certification schemes is provided in Appendix of the Reference Guide.

NC6 Does the entity have minimum energy efficiency requirements for new construction and major renovation projects?

☐ Yes

☐ Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Integrative design process
- ☐ To exceed relevant energy codes or standards
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ Common energy efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Air conditioning
- ☐ Commissioning
- ☐ Energy modeling
- ☐ Lighting
- ☐ Occupant controls
- ☐ Space heating
- ☐ Ventilation
- ☐ Water heating
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ Operational energy efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Energy use analytics
- ☐ Post-construction energy monitoring for on
Average years: _____
- ☐ Sub-meter
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ No

NC7.1 Does the entity incorporate on-site renewable energy in the design of new construction and major renovation projects?

NC7.1

☐ Yes

Projects designed to generate on-site renewable energy (multiple answers possible)

☐ Biofuels

Percentage of all projects: _____%

☐ Geothermal

Percentage of all projects: _____%

☐ Hydro

Percentage of all projects: _____%

☐ Solar/photovoltaic

Percentage of all projects: _____%

☐ Wind

Percentage of all projects: _____%

☐ Other: _____

Percentage of all projects: _____%

Average design target for the fraction of total energy demand met with on-site renewable energy

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC7.2 Are the entity's new construction and major renovation projects designed to meet net-zero energy codes and/or standards?

NC7.2

☐ Yes

The entity's definition of "net-zero energy" includes:

- ☐ Net Zero Site Energy
- ☐ Net Zero Source Energy
- ☐ Net Zero Energy Costs
- ☐ Net Zero Energy Emissions
- ☐ Other: _____

The entity uses net-zero energy code/standard:

- ☐ National/local green building council standard, specify: _____
- ☐ National/local government standard, specify: _____
- ☐ International standard, specify: _____
- ☐ Other: _____

Percentage of projects covered: _____%

☐ No

NC8 Does the entity promote water conservation in its new construction and major renovation projects?

NC8

☐ Yes

The entity promotes water conservation through (multiple answers possible)

☐ Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)

☐ Development and implementation of a commissioning plan

☐ Integrative design for water conservation

☐ Requirements for indoor water efficiency

☐ Requirements for outdoor water efficiency

☐ Requirements for process water efficiency

☐ Requirements for water supply

☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ Common water efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)

☐ Commissioning of water systems

☐ Drip/smart irrigation

☐ Drought tolerant/low-water landscaping

☐ High-efficiency/dry fixtures

☐ Leak detection system

☐ Occupant sensors

☐ On-site wastewater treatment

☐ Re-use of stormwater and greywater for non-potable applications

☐ Other: _____

☐ Operational water efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible)

☐ Post-construction water monitoring for on

Average years: _____

☐ Sub-meter

☐ Water use analytics

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC9 Does the entity promote efficient on-site solid waste management during the construction phase of its new construction and major renovation projects?

NC9

☐ Yes

The entity promotes efficient solid waste management through (multiple answers possible)

☐ Management and construction practices (multiple answers possible)

☐ Construction waste signage

☐ Education of employees/contractors on waste management

☐ Incentives for contractors for recovering, reusing and recycling building materials

☐ Targets for waste stream recovery, reuse and recycling

☐ Waste management plans

☐ Waste separation facilities

☐ Other: _____

☐ On-site waste monitoring (multiple answers possible)

☐ Hazardous waste monitoring

☐ Non-hazardous waste monitoring

☐ Other: _____

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ No

NC10.1 Does the entity have ESG requirements in place for its contractors?

NC10.1

☐ Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Business ethics
- ☐ Community engagement
- ☐ Environmental process standards
- ☐ Environmental product standards
- ☐ Fundamental human rights
- ☐ Human health-based product standards
- ☐ On-site occupational safety
- ☐ ESG-specific requirements for sub-contractors
- ☐ Other: _____

Percentage of projects covered: _____%

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ No

NC10.2 Does the organization monitor its contractors' compliance with its ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

NC10.2

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

☐ Contractors provide update reports on environmental and social aspects during construction

☐ External audits by third party

Percentage of projects audited during the reporting period: _____ %

Name of the organization

☐ Internal audits

Percentage of projects audited during the reporting period: _____ %

☐ Weekly/monthly (on-site) meetings and/or ad hoc site visits

Percentage of projects visited during the reporting period: _____ %

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC11 Does the entity promote occupant health and well-being in its new construction and major renovation projects?

☐ Yes

The entity addresses health and well-being in the design of its product through (multiple answers possible)

☐ Requirements for planning and design, including (multiple answers possible)

☐ Health Impact Assessment

☐ Integrated planning process

☐ Other planning process: _____

UPLOAD or document name _____ and publication date _____

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found _____

☐ Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible)

☐ Access to spaces for active and passive recreation

☐ Active design features

☐ Commissioning

☐ Daylight

☐ Indoor air quality monitoring

☐ Indoor air quality source control

☐ Natural ventilation

☐ Occupant controls

☐ Provisions for active transport

☐ Other: _____

☐ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible)

☐ Occupant education

☐ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) for on

Average years: _____

☐ Other: _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC12.1 Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its new construction and major renovation projects?

NC12.1

☐ Yes

The entity promotes on-site safety through (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Availability of medical personnel
- ☐ Communicating safety information
- ☐ Continuously improving safety performance
- ☐ Demonstrating safety leadership
- ☐ Entrenching safety practices
- ☐ Managing safety risks
- ☐ Personal Protective and Life Saving Equipment
- ☐ Promoting design for safety
- ☐ Training curriculum
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

NC12.2 Does the organization monitor safety indicators at construction sites?

NC12.2

☐ Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

☐ Injury rate: _____

Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words)

☐ Fatalities: _____

☐ Near misses: _____

☐ Other metrics: _____

Rate of other metric(s): _____

☐ No

Community Impact and Engagement

2018 Indicator

NC13 Does the entity assess the potential socio-economic impact of its new construction and major renovation projects on the community as part of planning and pre-construction?

☐ Yes

Select the areas of impact that are assessed (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Housing affordability
- ☐ Impact on crime levels
- ☐ Livability score
- ☐ Local income generated
- ☐ Local residents' well-being
- ☐ Walkability score
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ No

NC13

NC14 Does the entity have a systematic process to monitor the impact of new construction and major renovation projects on the local community during different stages of the project?

☐ Yes

The entity's process includes (multiple answers possible)

- ☐ Analysis and interpretation of monitoring data
- ☐ Development and implementation of a communication plan
- ☐ Development and implementation of a community monitoring plan
- ☐ Development and implementation of a risk mitigation plan
- ☐ Identification of nuisance and/or disruption risks
- ☐ Identification of stakeholders and impacted groups
- ☐ Management practices to ensure accountability for performance goals and issues identified during community monitoring
- ☐ Other: _____

Describe the monitoring process (maximum 250 words): _____

UPLOAD

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found_____

☐ No

NC14